semtracks - Finding Patterns in Discourse

Klaus Rothenhäusler rothenhaeusler@semtracks.com

Heidelberg Center for American Studies University of Heidelberg

23. 4. 2009 / Freiburg



project title

Tracking Meaning on the Surface: A Data-Driven Approach to Semantic Imprints of Texts

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

- funded by *Innnovationsfond Frontier* at University of Heidelberg
- interdisciplinary research team: linguists, historians, geographers and a computational linguist

project title

Tracking Meaning on the Surface: A Data-Driven Approach to Semantic Imprints of Texts

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- funded by *Innnovationsfond Frontier* at University of Heidelberg
- interdisciplinary research team: linguists, historians, geographers and a computational linguist

project title

Tracking Meaning on the Surface: A Data-Driven Approach to Semantic Imprints of Texts

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- funded by *Innnovationsfond Frontier* at University of Heidelberg
- interdisciplinary research team: linguists, historians, geographers and a computational linguist

Introduction - Case Study

- original (and future): Perceptions of America after 9/11
- coverage of presidential campaign in 2008

now following Bundestagswahl

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Introduction – Case Study

- original (and future): Perceptions of America after 9/11
- coverage of presidential campaign in 2008

now following Bundestagswahl

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

Introduction – Case Study

- original (and future): Perceptions of America after 9/11
- coverage of presidential campaign in 2008



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで



• now following Bundestagswahl

Introduction – Case Study

- original (and future): Perceptions of America after 9/11
- coverage of presidential campaign in 2008



▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで



now following Bundestagswahl

• premise: language is not only mirroring but constructing reality

- construction via habitual linguistic patterns
- observable on the surface and statistically identifiable
- no deep semantic knowledge required
- specific configurations of pattern occurrence hint at pragmatic, social and cultural function
- goal: text analysis tool for social and cultural studies to position a given text within such categories

• premise: language is not only mirroring but constructing reality

- construction via habitual linguistic patterns
- observable on the surface and statistically identifiable
- no deep semantic knowledge required
- specific configurations of pattern occurrence hint at pragmatic, social and cultural function
- goal: text analysis tool for social and cultural studies to position a given text within such categories

• premise: language is not only mirroring but constructing reality

- construction via habitual linguistic patterns
- observable on the surface and statistically identifiable
- no deep semantic knowledge required
- specific configurations of pattern occurrence hint at pragmatic, social and cultural function
- goal: text analysis tool for social and cultural studies to position a given text within such categories

• premise: language is not only mirroring but constructing reality

- construction via habitual linguistic patterns
- observable on the surface and statistically identifiable
- no deep semantic knowledge required
- specific configurations of pattern occurrence hint at pragmatic, social and cultural function
- goal: text analysis tool for social and cultural studies to position a given text within such categories

• premise: language is not only mirroring but constructing reality

- construction via habitual linguistic patterns
- observable on the surface and statistically identifiable
- no deep semantic knowledge required
- specific configurations of pattern occurrence hint at pragmatic, social and cultural function
- goal: text analysis tool for social and cultural studies to position a given text within such categories

• premise: language is not only mirroring but constructing reality

- construction via habitual linguistic patterns
- observable on the surface and statistically identifiable
- no deep semantic knowledge required
- specific configurations of pattern occurrence hint at pragmatic, social and cultural function
- goal: text analysis tool for social and cultural studies to position a given text within such categories

- semantic imprints (Semantische Prägung)
 - premise: language is not only mirroring but constructing reality

- construction via habitual linguistic patterns
- observable on the surface and statistically identifiable
- no deep semantic knowledge required
- specific configurations of pattern occurrence hint at pragmatic, social and cultural function
- goal: text analysis tool for social and cultural studies to position a given text within such categories

Linguistic Analysis – Architecture

• pipeline architecture based on



▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @



• allows for multimodal representations

Linguistic Analysis

- tokenization (identifying words), includes
 - markup removal
 - boilerplate identification (e.g. navigational parts of a web page)
 - encoding issues
- part of speech (Wortart) tagging
- annotation of certain word classes, e.g.
 - itensifiers
 - I completely agree . . .
 - hedges
 I'm quite sure ...
- sentence and clause annotation
 - hybrid approach: rule based and statistic (memory based learner)

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

• including tense, mood and Aktionsart identification



- inverted index for storing texts along with linguistic
- advanced querying

- efficient implementation of



- inverted index for storing texts along with linguistic annotations
- advanced querying
 - regular expressions over text and annotations

- constraining search to annotated regions
- efficient implementation of
 - buzzword analysis
 - n-gram analysis
 - collocation analysis



- data sink:
- inverted index for storing texts along with linguistic annotations
- advanced querying
 - regular expressions over text and annotations

- constraining search to annotated regions
- efficient implementation of
 - buzzword analysis
 - n-gram analysis
 - collocation analysis



- data sink:
- inverted index for storing texts along with linguistic annotations
- advanced querying
 - regular expressions over text and annotations

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

- constraining search to annotated regions
- efficient implementation of
 - buzzword analysis
 - n-gram analysis
 - collocation analysis



- data sink:
- inverted index for storing texts along with linguistic annotations
- advanced querying
 - regular expressions over text and annotations

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ - 三 - のへで

- constraining search to annotated regions
- efficient implementation of
 - buzzword analysis
 - n-gram analysis
 - collocation analysis



- data sink:
- inverted index for storing texts along with linguistic annotations
- advanced querying
 - regular expressions over text and annotations

- constraining search to annotated regions
- efficient implementation of
 - buzzword analysis
 - n-gram analysis
 - collocation analysis



- data sink:
- inverted index for storing texts along with linguistic annotations
- advanced querying
 - regular expressions over text and annotations

- constraining search to annotated regions
- efficient implementation of
 - buzzword analysis
 - n-gram analysis
 - collocation analysis



- data sink:
- inverted index for storing texts along with linguistic annotations
- advanced querying
 - regular expressions over text and annotations

- constraining search to annotated regions
- efficient implementation of
 - buzzword analysis
 - n-gram analysis
 - collocation analysis



- data sink:
- inverted index for storing texts along with linguistic annotations
- advanced querying
 - regular expressions over text and annotations

- constraining search to annotated regions
- efficient implementation of
 - buzzword analysis
 - n-gram analysis
 - collocation analysis

- Presidential Campaign 2008
 - all speeches from both candidates
 - tv debates
- Merkel vs Steinmeier
 - all speeches in current legislative period

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

- Presidential Campaign 2008
 - all speeches from both candidates
 - tv debates
- Merkel vs Steinmeier
 - all speeches in current legislative period

- Presidential Campaign 2008
 - all speeches from both candidates
 - tv debates
- Merkel vs Steinmeier
 - all speeches in current legislative period

- Presidential Campaign 2008
 - all speeches from both candidates
 - tv debates
- Merkel vs Steinmeier
 - all speeches in current legislative period

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

- Presidential Campaign 2008
 - all speeches from both candidates
 - tv debates
- Merkel vs Steinmeier
 - all speeches in current legislative period

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

• words appearing significantly more often in one corpus than in another

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

• constrained by parts of speech

• words appearing significantly more often in one corpus than in another

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- constrained by parts of speech
- Merkel vs Steinmeier: adjectives

Example: Buzzword Analyis (Adjectives)

Wortwolke: Steinmeier

arabisch asiatisch auswärtig außenpolitisch bilateral dauerhaft demokratisch deutsch direkt dringend eng gemeinsam genau global heutig häufig international kalt klug knapp kommend konkret konstruktiv kritisch kulturell kurz künftig langfristig militärisch multilateral mutig nachhaltig nah neu offen palästinensisch politisch polnisch positiv recht regional russisch schwierig sicher strategisch technologisch tief verehrt vereint vergangen wachsend weit zivil zunehmend öffentlich

Wortwolke: Merkel

allergrößt ander anschließend ausreichend außenrdientlich bestimmt christlich dankbar deutlich dramatisch ehnlich einfach einzeln entsprechend erheblich fest ron ganz geistig gering gesamt gleich gut hart herZlich noch interessant jeweilig jung kein lieb mittelständisch plötzlich privat relativ richtig nesig römisch schnel achwer schön sozial spannend stötz technisch unglaublich unterschiedlich vereinigt vernünftig verschieden vollkommen vorhanden völlig wahrscheinlich wesentlich wichtig Wirklich wunderbar zufrieden

- Merkel: evaluative and intensifying adjectives
- gender specific language

• words appearing significantly more often in one corpus than in another

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- constrained by parts of speech
- Obama vs McCain: personal pronouns

McCain		
pronoun	significance level (χ^2)	relative frequency factor
my	< 0.0001	1.66
their	< 0.0001	1.19
he	< 0.001	1.26
I	< 0.01	1.08

Obama

pronoun	significance level (χ^2)	relative frequency factor
we	< 0.0001	1.4
you	< 0.0001	1.5
us	< 0.0001	1.33
yourself	< 0.001	6.17
they	< 0.05	1.1

- McCain: self references and references to opponents
- Obama: appeal to collectivity

statistically significant sequences of \boldsymbol{n} consecutive words or parts of speech

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三三 - のへぐ

Example: N-Gram Analysis

Merkel

- subjective interpretation and Ich glaube, dass Ich vermute, dass Ich hoffe, dass
- factual construction of reality Ich wei
 ß, dass Sie wissen, dass Wir wissen, dass
- consecutive continuations Deshalb werden wir Deshalb haben wir Das heißt

Steinmeier

- genitive objects nominalisations Autorität des Gouverneursrates der IAEO Erklärung des Botschafters des Irans Klärung des Status des Kosovo
- consecutive prepositional groups für die Bekämpfung der Intoleranz angesichts der Tätergruppen von New York für die Bedeutung von Innovation
- enumerations

den amerikanischen Freunden und Partnern gesellschaftliche und kulturelle Austausch Gewicht, Stimme und Handlungsfähigkeit Verhalten, Augenmaß und Vernunft

- Merkel: emotional emphasis and subjective style
- Steinmeier: competent expert using prefab formulations (repetitions)

collocation two words occuring together more often than would be expected by chance, e.g.

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

- Examples
 - strong not powerful tea
 - handsome man but beautiful woman
 - to get sick but to fall ill

Example: Collocation Analysis



- Obama: fundamental socio-cultural change
- McCain: reform in politics (Washington) and economics

- results of explorative data analysis
 - semantically loaded entities can be identified

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ □▶ ▲ □▶ □ のへぐ

• provides hints for further investigation

Future Research, or: Why do we Need the BFG?

- bigger corpora
- advanced linguistic analysis, e.g. syntactic parsing $(O(N^3))$
- general approach
 - analyse textual data
 - construct feature representation
 - train a classifier (supervised or unsupervised)
- open questions:
 - What kind of features are useful, i.e. what linguistic analyses are necessary?

• Do we need different representations for different catgorisations?

- semtracks: http://semtracks.com/
- semtracks political tracker blog: http://semtracks.com/index.php?id=Political%20Tracker

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ■ ●の00

- Apache UIMA: http://incubator.apache.org/uima/
- Corpus Workbench: http://cwb.sourceforge.net/